

This is the final part to the article series "So Many Different Bibles!"; There are so many different versions of the Bible that many are wondering, “Which version is the best?” If you go to a Christian bookstore desiring to buy a Bible, are you one of those thinking, “Which Bible should I buy?” Maybe you just became a born-again Christian and decided to get a Bible for yourself but then you were surprised to see that there are so many varieties of the Bible all over the shelves of a bookstore! There should be a true authority somewhere, not many different ones. The King James Bible is the final authority of the word of God unlike the other so-called bibles. The problem with those modern versions is that they corrupt the words of God and bring utter confusion. Let’s examine more problems with the modern versions and see if they can be the true words of God.

Note #1:

When any word of God is corrupted, God firmly condemns the whole Bible:

- a) In Revelation 22:18, God despises those who add to His Bible. The Amplified kept adding various words for one word, so that you couldn’t tell which word is of the Bible.
- b) In Revelation 22:19, God despises those who subtract verses in His Bible. The New International Version, the New American Standard Version, and majority of modern versions nearly took out all the chapter of Mark 16, verses in John 7:53-8:11, and many other verses.
- c) In Proverbs 30:5, God said each of His words is pure. When the modern versions deny the deity of Christ, promote robbery, recommend cultic teachings, and omit important points from God, those are not pure.

Note # 2:

All of these verses from the modern versions either match with the Jehovah’s Witness’ Bible (look at the “Modern versions” list) or are even worse. If the modern versions truly update words to make sense then why did they choose words that are exactly the same as the Jehovah’s Witness Bible? The Jehovah’s Witness Bible is written to suit with the Jehovah’s Witnesses in denying the deity of Christ and promoting other cultic doctrines.

Note # 3:

Harvard University recognized that the modern versions are inferior compared to the King James Bible:

“Modern translators...now mask the techniques of repetition which are so basic to the literary effects of the Bible...[T]he Renaissance [i.e. KJV] translators’ practice worked better. They were, for a start, shrewdly perceptive of the need to reproduce very close repetition, as, for instance, within a single verse. [T]he reader of a modern version...can find no such pattern...Again, the modern versions do not allow their readers such an insight...Too often the contrast between old and new [versions] shows up not merely an imperviousness [ignorance] on the part of the modern versions toward the Bible’s literary effects, but a real desire to suppress them...” (Alter, *The Literary Guide to the Bible*, pages 654-655)

Note # 4:

Even modern versions like Moffat (Preface, 1950), Revised Standard Version (Preface, 1952), Contemporary English Version (Preface, 1995), Jewish Publication Society Translation (Preface, 1917), New Revised Standard Version (Preface, 1989), New International Version (Preface, 1978), the New World Translation (Preface, 1950), and the New King James Version (Preface, 1990) praise or mimic the King James Bible, since it is so great.

Note # 5:

a) A worker of the New American Standard Version committee (Dr. Frank Logsdon) confessed,

“I must under God renounce every attachment to the New American Standard...I’m afraid I’m in trouble with the Lord...We laid the groundwork; I wrote the format; I helped interview some of the translators; I sat with the translator; I wrote the preface...I began to sense something was not right about the NASV...Are we so naïve that we do not suspect Satanic deception in all of this...[Y]ou can say the Authorized Version [KJV] is absolutely correct. How correct? 100% correct!” (Gail Riplinger, *New Age Versions*, Preface)

b) Dr. Sam Gipp explains his occurrence with the head of the New King James Version committee (Dr. Arthur Farstad):

“Dr. Farstad’s greatest testimony of the value of the New King James Version came during our round table discussion on the John Ancherberg Show when he admitted that he didn’t even think it was worth using for his personal devotions. During this broadcast, the Editor-in-Chief of the New King James Version admitted that he preferred to use the Latin in his daily devotions rather than the very translation that he had helped to create! Are you going to use a ‘bible’ that its chief editor rejects?” (Sam Gipp, *Gipp’s Understandable History of the Bible*, page 322)